

EXTRACT OF MINUTES OF
PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE

2 April 2009

Attendance:

Councillors:

Jeffs (Chairman) (P)

Barratt (P)
Baxter (P)
Busher (P)
Fall (P)
Huxstep

Johnston (P)
Lipscomb (P)
Pearce (P)
Ruffell (P)
Tait (P)

Deputy Members:

Councillor Berry (Standing Deputy for Councillor Huxstep)

Others in attendance who addressed the meeting:

Councillor Cook

Others in attendance who did not address the meeting:

Councillor Izard

1. **APPOINTMENT OF VICE-CHAIRMAN**

RESOLVED:

That, in the absence of Councillor Huxstep, Councillor Johnston be appointed Vice-Chairman for this meeting only.

2. **MINUTES**

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the previous meeting of the Committee, held on 12 March 2009 (less exempt item), be approved and adopted.

3. **MINUTES OF THE PLANNING DEVELOPMENT CONTROL (VIEWING)
SUB COMMITTEE HELD 9 MARCH 2009**

(Report [PDC795](#) refers)

The Committee considered the minutes of the Planning Development Control (Viewing) Sub Committee held on 9 March 2009 with regard to Chilland Mill, Martyr Worthy, Winchester (attached as Appendix A to the minutes).

RESOLVED:

That the minutes of the Planning Development Control (Viewing) Sub Committee held 9 March 2009, be received.

4. **DEVELOPMENT CONTROL SCHEDULE**

(Report [PDC797](#) refers)

Councillor Jeffs declared a personal (but not prejudicial) interest in respect of Item 2, as his wife was Chairman of the New Alresford Town Council Planning Committee which had opposed the application. He spoke and voted thereon.

In the public participation part of the meeting, the following items were discussed:

Item 1: The Crescent, Twyford, Winchester – Case Number 08/02554/FUL

Mr J Sullivan spoke against the application and Mr I Soulsby (applicant) spoke in support.

The Head of Planning Management explained that, subsequent to the publication of the Report, amended plans had been received. These illustrated a roof to the dormer window on the eastern elevation of the property. In addition, the footprint of the neighbouring garage at 5 The Crescent had been amended.

Following discussion, the Committee agreed that the application should be determined by a meeting of the Planning Development Control (Viewing) Sub-Committee, to be held on Friday 17 April 2009. This was because Members did not consider it possible to determine the application without first visiting the site, to assess the proposed layout of the new dwelling and its relationship with adjacent houses and its overall impact within the immediate street scene.

Item 2: 43 West Street, Alresford – Case Number 08/01595/FUL

Mrs D Beckett and Mrs B Jeffs (New Alresford Town Council) spoke against the application and Mr J O'Donovan (agent for the applicant) spoke in support.

The Head of Planning Management clarified that the recommendation for approval was subject to financial contributions from a Section 106 Legal Agreement regarding highway improvements and public open space.

The Committee was also advised that, subsequent to the publication of the Report, amended plans had been received. These indicated the provision of one additional parking space and manoeuvring space for vehicles, by moving a two-storey projection on the western side of the proposed flats further to the south. The garden area of Plot 3 had also been slightly reduced to accommodate the extra parking space.

An additional letter of representation had been received subsequent to the publication of the Report. This raised new issues to those covered in the Report, regarding parking and access for tradesmen's vehicles and that the listed wall closing off the Hankins Court development be rebuilt as early as possible during construction.

Following discussion, the Committee agreed that the application should be determined by a meeting of the Planning Development Control (Viewing) Sub-Committee, to be held on Friday 17 April 2009. This was because Members did not consider it possible to determine the application without first visiting the site to assess the relationship of the proposals with an adjacent recent development and existing dwellings. It was requested that the Police Crime Prevention Officer be consulted about the proposed footpath through the site and that comments be made available at the subsequent Viewing Sub-Committee.